

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/810,635 First Named Applicant: Jang Hui Cho
 Examiner: Helen Shibru Art Unit: 2621 Status of Application: NonFinal

Tentative Participants:

(1) Ryan Alley (2) Examiner H. Shibru
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Week of Aug. 3 Proposed Time: Examiner's choice AM/PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>103 Rejection</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Sawabe/Yamane</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

Applicants would like to discuss if an RCE submitting the enclosed claim would
 require further search and consideration over the current art. The content of this interview may be addressed
 in connection with 10/201,454

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____
 NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview
 (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this
 interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as
 soon as possible.

/Ryan Alley/
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature
Ryan E. Alley
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative
60,977

 Examiner/SPE Signature

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application.
 Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the
 completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for
 reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT
 SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
 If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

To: Examiner H. Shibu

Re: Requested Interview for 10/810,635

Applicants thank the Examiner for considering an interview on the subject case after final. **Applicants note that the content of this interview may overlap substantially with that on parent case 10/201,454, such that the two may be combined.** During the interview, the parties would like to discuss filing a Request for Continued Examination submitting the following claim amendment in the subject case. Applicants wish to know only if the proposed amendment will overcome the rejections over prior art as applied (i.e., will require further search and consideration). Of course, the Examiner would be free to raise any other issues she feels will advance prosecution in this application:

1. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable recording medium having a data structure for managing reproduction of at least video data representing multiple reproduction paths, comprising:

a data area storing at least video data as a transport stream in more than one file, each file associated with a different one of the multiple reproduction paths, and the files being interleaved with one another; and

a navigation area storing at least one navigation list file, the at least one navigation list file including at least a first navigation data item and a second navigation data item, ~~the at least one navigation list controlling a reproduction order of the navigation data items~~, the first navigation data item referencing more than one map for managing the multiple reproduction paths, ~~each map for managing one of the multiple reproduction paths~~, a flag being stored in the first navigation data item, the value of the flag indicating that the first navigation data item references more than one map, the first navigation data item including an indicator having a value of the number of reproduction paths associated with the first navigation data item, the second data item referencing a single map for managing a single reproduction path, a flag being stored in the second navigation data item, the value of the flag indicating that the second navigation data item references a single map, each navigation data

item including an identifier identifying at least one map file associated with the navigation data item, the map file including at least one map each map providing position information data for the video data, the navigation list file being separate from the map file and stream files of the file associated with the reproduction path managed by the map.

The claim amendment is attempting to recite an "identifier" not currently in Sawabe or Yamane. The amendment also attempts to clarify that the navigation list file, map file, and stream file cannot be all in the same "file." Thus, if the PGI of Sawabe is applied as, for example, the navigation list file, some other file would need to be identified to meet the map and stream files. Applicants request only the Examiner's indication whether such an amendment would overcome Sugimoto as applied with the other references.